

## United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/594,322	06/15/2000	Antonio Nevarez	9049.00	8854
26890 . 7	590 10/04/2002			
JAMES M. STOVER NCR CORPORATION 1700 SOUTH PATTERSON BLVD, WHQ4			EXAMINER	
			BACKER, FIRMIN	
DAYTON, OH	1 45479		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	
		•	DATE MAILED: 10/04/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, · .			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/594,322	NEVAREZ, ANTONIO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Firmin Backer	3621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mostatute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status 			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
, <u> </u>	This action is non-final.		
<ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un Disposition of Claims</li> </ol>			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the applica	ation		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers	·		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	miner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	* ' '		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)□ approved b)⊡	disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required	• •		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docum			
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		· ·	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a</li> </ul>	il Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	n).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	e provisional application has	been received.	
Attachment(s)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	••	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	3) 5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  If Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3621

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This is in response to a letter for patent filed on June 15<sup>th</sup>, 2000 in which claims 1-26 are presented for examination. Claims 1-26 are pending in the letter.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vong (U.S. Patent No. 6,343,275) in view of Choy (U.S. Patent No. 6,141,754).
- 3. As per claim 1, 25 and 26, Wong teaches a method for use in improving profitability of one or more business entities (see fig 1, column 2 lines 12-46, 4 lines 8-53), comprising receiving business-related data from at least two business entities (see column 4 lines 8-53), storing at least some of the data from each of the business entities in a common database (see column 6 lines 7-30). Wong fails to teach an inventive concept that is in accordance with the terms of an agreement among the business entities, allowing at least one of the business entities to receive information gathered from the common database. However, Choy teaches inventive concept that is in accordance with the terms of an agreement among the business entities, allowing at least one of the business entities to receive information gathered from the common database (see

. .

Application/Control Number: 09/594,322

Art Unit: 3621

abstract, fig 1, 7 lines 5-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wong inventive concept to include Choy's inventive concept that is in accordance with the terms of an agreement among the business entities, allowing at least one of the business entities to receive information gathered from the common database because this would have protected information entity based on the protection specification and the access control manager, and checks whether the requested access meets conditions determined based on the protection specification and enforced by the enhanced access control manager.

- 4. As per claim 2, Wong teaches a method where allowing one of the business entities to receive information gathered from the common database includes gathering at least some of the information from data received from a business entity other than the one receiving the information (see fig 1, column 2 lines 12-46, 4 lines 8-53).
- 5. As per claim 3, Wong teaches a method where allowing one of the business entities to receive information gathered from the common database includes granting that business entity permission to gather the information directly from the common database (see fig 1, column 2 lines 12-46, 4 lines 8-53).
- 6. As per claim 4, Wong teaches a method where allowing one of the business entities to receive information gathered from the common database includes gathering the information on

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/594,322 Page 4

Art Unit: 3621

behalf of that business entity and then delivering the information to the business entity (see fig 1, column 2 lines 12-46, 4 lines 8-53).

- 7. As per claim 5, Wong teaches a method where storing data in the common database includes storing the data in a database maintained by an entity in the business of providing data warehousing services (see column 4 lines 8-53).
- 8. As per claim 6, Wong teaches a method further comprising granting unrestricted access to the common database only to a third party named in the agreement among the business entities (see column 6 lines 7-30).
- 9. As per claim 7-9, Wong teaches a method where storing data in the common database includes storing the data in a scalable data warehouse having a total capacity of at least approximately one terabyte to hundreds of terabytes (see fig 1, column 2 lines 12-46, 4 lines 8-53).
- 10. As per claim 10-13, Wong teaches a method where receiving business-related data includes receiving data that describes the customers, the products offered, business operations or a combination of consumer data, product data, and operations data (see fig 1, column 2 lines 12-46, 4 lines 8-53).

Application/Control Number: 09/594,322 Page 5

Art Unit: 3621

11. As per claim 14, Wong teaches a method where receiving business-related data includes occasionally receiving new data from the business entities (see fig 1, column 2 lines 12-46, 4 lines 8-53).

- 12. As per claim 15, 16, Wong teaches a method where receiving business-related data includes receiving data that is also stored in a private data warehouse maintained by one of the business entities and on behalf of one of the business entities under a data-warehouse services agreement (see column 4 lines 8-53).
- 13. As per claim 17, Wong teaches a method, further comprising negotiating the agreement among the business entities in the group (see column 6 lines 7-30).
- 14. As per claim 18, Wong teaches a method use in operating a consortium among a number of distinct business entities (see fig 1, column 2 lines 12-46, 4 lines 8-53), the method comprising collecting business-related data gathered by the business entities and delivering at least some of the extracted information to each of the business entities in the consortium (see column 6 lines 7-30). Wong fails to teach negotiating an agreement among the entities for pooling the collected data in a shared data warehouse, extracting information from the pooled data in accordance with terms of the negotiated agreement. However Choy teaches negotiating an agreement among the entities for pooling the collected data in a shared data warehouse, extracting information from the pooled data in accordance with terms of the negotiated agreement (see col. 7 line 5-67).

  Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinay skill in the art at the time the invention

Application/Control Number: 09/594,322

Art Unit: 3621

was made to modify Wong's inventive concept to include Choy negotiating an agreement among the entities for pooling the collected data in a shared data warehouse, extracting information from the pooled data in accordance with terms of the negotiated agreement because this would have protected information entity based on the protection specification and the access control manager, and checks whether the requested access meets conditions determined based on the protection specification and enforced by the enhanced access control manager.

- 15. As per claim 19, 20, Wong teaches a method where collecting data includes pooling the data into a data warehousing system owned by a third party who does not contribute any of the pooled data but in the business of providing data warehousing services (see fig 1, column 2 lines 12-46, 4 lines 8-53).
- 16. As per claim 21-24, Wong teaches a method where negotiating the agreement includes drafting the agreement to include terms governing the types of data to be placed in the shared data warehouse, access to the shared data warehouse, the types of information that can be extracted from the shared data warehouse, providing for payment of money in exchange for services provided by a third party selected to maintain the shared data warehouse (see fig 1, column 2 lines 12-46, 4 lines 8-53).

Page 6

Art Unit: 3621

## Conclusion

17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- a. Richards (US Patent No. 6,408,303) teaches a concept that is comprised of several software components that operate in accordance with data stored in files, lists, tables, databases, etc. to provide the features and functionality described herein.
- b. Kane et al (US Patent No. 6,389,429) teach an inventive concept of generating additional multiple references to records of the target audience member database by querying the second index for similarities based on the second field of the source audience member record, the quantity of additional multiple references being responsive the to the match-closeness parameter.
- c. Hlusaka (US Patent No. 5,638,519) teaches a computer-based system and apparatus is provided for automatically controlling information related to business transactions between a provider of goods and receiver of goods, and tracking activities related thereto.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Firmin Backer whose telephone number is (703) 305-0624. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 8:30-6:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/594,322

Art Unit: 3621

Page 8

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammel can be reached on (703) 305-9768. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Firmin Backer

September 25, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3800